S.B.Civil Second Appeal No.310/2006.

Smt. Kamla Devi.

vs.

Manak Ram.

Date : 9.5.2007

HON'BLE MR. PRAKASH TATIA, J.

Mr.MR Mehta, for the appellant.

- - - - -

Heard learned counsel for the appellant/ plaintiff.

The appellant/plaintiff has preferred this second appeal to challenge the finding recorded by the two courts below by which the trial court as well as the appellate court both held that the appellant failed to prove the rent of the suit premises to be Rs.400/- per month and the rent of the suit premises was found to be Rs.300/- per month. The courts below also rejected the grounds for eviction raised by the appellant on the ground of personal bonafide necessity, nuisance as well as denial of title and subletting.

It appears from the reasons given in the judgments

that the two courts below have considered all the evidence and thereafter recorded concurrent finding of fact.

In view of the above, I do not find any substantial question of law involved in this appeal.

Consequently, this second appeal, having no merit, is hereby dismissed.

(PRAKASH TATIA), J.

S.Phophaliya